1. Meeting start 6:43
2. Approval of the minutes
3. 3. Approbval of the agenda
4. 4. Elections
	1. a.Provost online education committee
		1. i. Provost Polak has charged the committee with analyzing generally (nationally) and specifically (at Yale) issues of online education. The committee is composed of faculty, administrators, and students. It will meet two to three times per semester, and the first meeting will be November 5th at 4:00 in HGS 116.
	2. Need one representative
		1. i. Nominations: Mate Nagy
		2. Voting: by acclamation
			1. Pass
5. Planning for the 320 York St renovations
	1. The extenstion of the HGS exploratory committee; moving into planning phase and would like a graduate student representative
	2. Has requested specific election
		1. Wants us to nominate 4 people, she will pick one
		2. Question: what will happen if we only send one rep? do we have a guaranteed rep position?
			1. We have a guaranteed position
		3. Question: does the rep need to be a humanist?
			1. It was requested
		4. Should we give her one name? should we give her all four name?
			1. If we go for confrontation, we will she fight back in the future? If we give her a choice will be she more willing to work with us?
		5. What are her selection criteria?
			1. She requested a brief blurb and info
		6. Can we see how many people are interested?
		7. It isn’t sp for us to send 4 people; it isn’t sending a message, just doing our protocol
			1. We should send one and if she has a good reason or a conflict, we will send her the alternate
		8. Can we just not serve as middle man?
			1. We want to be the middle man- it is the purpose of the GSA; to make sure that we are sending
		9. Hasn’t she already picked a grad student
			1. That was for the last committee
		10. Do we even have four people who want to serve on this committee?
			1. One rep from film studies, but time commitment seems steep
			2. Reps from Philosophy and student from Slavic languages
		11. Recap of options: create a ranked list and send one name at a time; elect one; or elect all four
			1. The most straightforward thing is to elect one and send her to her and cross the bridge of alternates later
			2. What are the preferences for the people who have expressed interest?
				1. Back up earlier point; we send one rep because that is how we function
		12. Main concern should not be future retribution, but the efficacy of the person on the committee
			1. Selecting 4 people is not fair to the people who put themselves on
			2. Should we negotiate for another position on the committee?
		13. Who is opposed to sending 4 names: majority
		14. Who is opposed to ranking the election results and submitting names one at a time?
		15. Who wants two reps on the committee ?
			1. Sending two reps isn’t making the point that we have a certain way of doing elections?
		16. We should just send one because it is easier
		17. Just explain that we feel we are more qualified to send graduate student representation to university committee
		18. Should we take into account the fact the committee should be of variegated departments
	3. Nominations:
		1. Fabian Eckert: Economics
		2. Alex Zhang: Philooshy
		3. Andrew: film studies
	4. Elections:
		1. Speeches
			1. Fabian
			2. Alex
			3. Andrew
		2. Discussion
			1. Alex wins majorit
	5. Discussion of how to proceed
		1. Can we assume that if we submitted all three they would pick alex anyways
			1. Lets not take chances
		2. Do we submit all three, ranked?
		3. Do we submit just alex? majority
			1. Have we done this in the past?
				1. Yes
		4. We will submit Alex and proceed down the list if necessary
6. CTF
	1. Patrick: need readers for fall application
		1. Need two humantites and one more social scientist
			1. Seb and Rachel
			2. Jow lewnard
				1. Is ph social science

Move to allow him to serve anywas

Seconded

1. Move to table deep dive on MHC
	1. Seconded
	2. approved
2. Steering committee update
	1. Leadership board of GSA
	2. Meet with dean cooley every other week
		1. Met with her twice this week
		2. Brough up issues such as:
			1. Admin updates on grad school prjects
				1. elm street dorm and new mcdougal
			2. AAU report results
				1. Concerns with faculty harassment
				2. Creation of ad hoc in gsa
			3. Survey to be sent to GSAS body
				1. Delayed because of the GSAS survey
			4. Withholding issues in stipends
			5. Fifth and sixth year funding in prof school phd programs
				1. Prof schools set and fund their on phd programs
			6. Presented resolutions
			7. Talked about childcare
		3. Questions: none
3. Tax discussion
	1. Presentation
	2. Will the gsa share the presentation?
		1. Yes
	3. Are there any financial aid questions?
		1. Quarterly or lump sum
			1. The tax office might have estimated taxes walk through
		2. How do first year taxes work for the sept 19th deadline for first years
		3. Important to clarify the difference between estimated and withholding per semester
	4. Will bring these issues up with Jennifer brinely
		1. Trying to get her to come in
4. Concerns from the floor
	1. Podcast issues based off of hot button issues
		1. Looking for volunteers
		2. First might be on how endowment works; how taxes work; etc
	2. Name tags
5. Motion to adjourn:
	1. Seconded
6. Meeting end: 7:57pm